

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,140	10/759,140 01/20/2004		Kazuaki Someno	Q79470	6970	
23373	7590	10/16/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE		PLLC A AVENUE, N.W.	LEE, RIP A			
SUITE 800	O I L V AINI	A AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	TON, DC	20037	1713			
				DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>						-
			Application No.	Appl	icant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/759,140	SOM	SOMENO ET AL.		
			Examiner	Art l	Jnit		
			Rip A. Lee	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the corresp	ondence ac	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MINIOR OF THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS AND	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMU (6(a). In no event, however, ma ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mail ne ABANDONED (35 U	ing date of this c		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 27 lu	ly 2006				
2a)□			action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition	•—		natters prosecut	ion as to the	a marite ie	
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practic		•	• •		e memo is	
Disposit	ion of Claims						
		nlication					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•	n from consideration				•
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e william	m nom consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement				
٠,١	are subject to result	tion and/or	ciccuon requirement.	•			
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b) objected	to by the Exami	ner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 Cl	FR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected	to. See 37 Ci	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action	n or form PT	ΓΟ-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).		
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•	• () ()	()		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority			n Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies					Stage	
	application from the Internation	•	-				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action		, ,,,	not received.			
A441	w. v						
Attachmen			., 			•	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-4 No(s)/Mail Date.			
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	101	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent A			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/759,140

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

This office action follows a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 § C.F.R. 1.114, filed on July 27, 2006. Claim 1 was amended to further define the invention. Claims 1-9 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "closed type" in claim is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "closed type" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (U.S. 5,430,086) in view of Eswaran et al. (U.S. 5,711,904).

Saito et al. teaches a method of producing a rubber composition comprising mixing the following in a continuous mixing machine: 137 phr of styrene-butadiene having a bound styrene content of 45 %, 37.5 phr of extender oil, 62.5 phr of aromatic process oil, 100 phr of carbon black, and sulfur/ZnO cure package (comp. Ex. 9, Table 3). Saito et al. shows that the composition of comparative example 9 has poor dispersibility of filler, leading to poor grip

Application/Control Number: 10/759,140

Art Unit: 1713

characteristic of rubber. The reference does not teach use of extrusion to mix the components, as recited in instant claims 2-9. Eswaran et al. discloses continuous mixing of elatomeric compounds using two cascading co-rotating twin-screw extruders (see figure 2). Non-productive elements are mixed in the first extruder (a closed mixing machine), and the productive elements (curatives) are added in a separate feeding port of the second extrude. The feeding port is closer to the exit port than the other feeding ports depicted in the overall apparatus. The inventors show that the apparatus may be used for compounding a series of elastomeric compositions in which the elastomeric component is solution or emulsion polymerized styrene-butadiene copolymer rubber (col. 7, line 5). In view of the fact that the process shown in Eswaran et al. works well for compounding styrene-butadiene rubber, one of ordinary skill in the art, having both references at hand, would have found it obvious to use the compounding method of Eswaran et al. in order to improve the mixing efficiency or dispersibility of filler in the composition shown in Saito et al. The combination is especially obvious since the process described in Eswaran et al. is a general one and applicable to a variety of rubber compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

October 2, 2006

DAVID W. WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 3